## Chapter 8 Thematic Keys and Tries


#### Abstract

8.1 In Part Two we stay with the two-mover but move from merely cumulative records to strategic ones. These terms are varyingly used by problemists and the dividing line between them is imprecise, but strategy generally implies some unifying theme or pattern (such as sacrifice, interference, reciprocity, etc.) as opposed to the mere cumulation of moves or mating positions. We have already seen some notable strategic content in a number of cumulative record problems, e.g. unpins of the WS in 17*, checks by the BS in 137*, self-blocks in 339** and mixed strategy in 68* and 77. The first important collection of strategic two-move tasks was in Alain White's Les Tours de Force sur $l^{\prime} E ́ c h i q u i e r ~(1906) . ~$


8.2 A strategic theme may be shown in any one or more of tries, refutations, key, threat(s), Black moves and mating moves. Pinning and unpinning themes in particular can run through many different parts of a problem, as in 382 or 602*. The arrangement of the chapters in Part Two follows the line of play. So this chapter is devoted to themes whose interest lies solely or mainly in White's first move, whether key or try. Refutation tasks are briefly treated at the end of the chapter.

## KEYS

## Sacrifice

8.3 We start, as in the examples in Chapter 1, with the best known of all chess themes, sacrifice. The two-move record for the highest number of captures leading to different mates after a sacrificial key is 9, economically shown with a checking key in 366 (to which we shall return in 10.18). This record was first achieved in 191, but with the blemish that the key-piece is already en prise to the BQ. The theoretical maximum, a tenfold sacrifice of a WP on the fourth rank, has been shown several times but never with more than seven different mates. With a quiet key the record is 8 , beautifully rendered in $367^{*}$, and matched by 368 with the sacrificial square outside the BK's field. The unpinning key of $\mathbf{3 6 9}$ sacrifices as many as 7 White men, the exchanges of WP for BS being sacrifices to the problemist if not to the player. Without an unpinning key, the sacrifice of four men is perfectly shown in $\mathbf{3 7 0}(\mathbf{N})^{*}$, and the record is 5 in $\mathbf{3 7 1 ( N )}$
(with the key-piece not counted as it is already en prise). Finally, 361 shows a triple sacrifice with mate transference in each of three phases, and the fine 372* has a fourfold sacrifice after try and key with four changes.
366) J. C. van Gool

Journal de Genève, 1976

1.Rxe5+
1...dPxe5 2.Qb7
1...fPxe5 2.Sxg5
1...Bxe5 2.Qxd3
1...Qxe5 2.Bg2
1...eRxe5 2.Sxd6
1...gRxe5 2.Pf3
1...7Sxe5 2.Sxf6
1...3Sxe5 2.Rxd4
1...Kxe5 2.Pxe8=Q
\#2

367*) A. J. Mosely
1st Prize, Northern Whig, 1912

1.Se4
(>2.Re8)
1...dPxe4 2.Bd4
1...fPxe4 2.Qe6
1...Bxe4 2.Pd4
1...Qxe4 2.Qh8
1...Rxe4 2.Qxf5
1...cSxe4 2.Rxd5
1...fSxe4 2.Sxd3
1...Kxe4 2.Re8
\#2
368) J. Savournin

Thèmes-64, 1973 (V)


| $1 . \mathrm{Pd} 4$ | $(>2 . \mathrm{Pd} 5)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{cPxd} 4$ | $2 . \mathrm{Rf6}$ |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{ePxd} 4$ | 2.Qxe4 |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Bxd} 4$ | $2 . \mathrm{Qxc} 4$ |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Qxd} 4$ | 2.Bh3 |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{cRxd} 4$ | $2 . \operatorname{Sxc5}$ |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{eRxd} 4$ | $2 . \mathrm{Qxg6}$ |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{bSxd} 4, \mathrm{Sc} 7, \mathrm{Sd} 6$ | $2 . \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{c} 7$ |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{eSxd} 4$ | $2 . \mathrm{Bxc} 4$ |

369) C. J. Morse

The Problemist, 1989 (V)


370[N]*) S. M. Katz (after F. Janet)
Die Kleine Volkszeitung, 1937


## 371[N]) D. Stojnić

The Problemist, 2005 (Version by E. Ferrón)

\#2

| 1.Se5 | (>2.3S~) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1...Kxg5 | 2.Qf6 |
| 1...Qxd3 | 2.Sxd3 |
| 1...Rxe4 | 2.Sg2 |
| 1...Rxc3 | 2.Sc2 |
| 1...Bxd5+ | 2.Sxd5 |
| 1...Sf5 | 2.Sxf5 |
| 1...Sxg4 | 2.3Sxg4 |
| 1...Pxe5 | 2.Qxe5 |

## 372*) M. Velimirović

1st Place, Liga Problemista, 1995


Walking into Check
8.4 In the initial position of $\mathbf{3 7 3}$ the WK is sheltered from check: the flight-taking key exposes him to the record number of 13 checks leading to different mates, six of them delivered by the WR battery. Without a White battery, the record is 10 in $\mathbf{3 7 4}$. We shall return to Black checks in 9.8-9.10.

## 373) J. C. van Gool

Journal de Genève, 1977


## 374) J. Fulpius

The Problemist, 1990

\#2

| 1.Kxd6 | (>2.Qd5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1...Qxf6+ | 2.Sxf6 |
| 1...Qf4+ | 2.Rxf4 |
| 1...Qg3+ | 2.Sxg3 |
| 1...Rd3+ | 2.Pxd3 |
| 1...Rd2+ | 2.Sxd2 |
| 1...Bxc5+ | 2.Sxc5 |
| 1...Sc4+ | 2.Qxc4 |
| 1...Sxb7+ | 2.Bxb7 |
| 1...Se8+ | 2.Qxe8 |
| 1...Sxf5+ | 2.Bxf5 |
| 1...Se6 | 2.Qxe6 |

Flight-Giving
8.5 The record number of BK flights given by the key which lead to different mates is 5 in $\mathbf{3 7 5}$. The flamboyant $\mathbf{3 7 6}$ matches this with the embellishment of a sixth set flight but with a checking key: the composer lived in Tahiti and his real name was J. F. Stimson. The theoretical maximum, a key giving six flights, has been shown, but with the same mate after all of them. We shall return to BK flights in 9.11-9.12.
375) M. McDowell

The Problemist, 1986

376) Ua Tane

Good Companions, 1918

\#2
1.Sc4+

| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Kc} 5$ | $2 . \mathrm{Rh} 5$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Kd} 5$ | $2 . \mathrm{Qe} 5$ |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Ke} 6$ | $2 . \mathrm{Pxg} 8=\mathrm{Q}$ |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Ke} 7$ | $2 . \mathrm{fPxe} 8=\mathrm{Q}$ |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Kxd} 7$ | $2 . \mathrm{Pf} 8=\mathrm{S}$ |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Kc} 7$ | $2 . \mathrm{bPxc} 8=\mathrm{Q}$ |

Mobilization of Black
8.6 We have already seen in 291 a key unpinning a BR whose moves lead to 10 different mates. The record lies with the brilliantly constructed $\mathbf{3 7 7} \dagger$, in which a key taking two flights frees the BQ to make no less than 13 new moves leading to different mates, with byplay. In the Dalton theme the unpinned Black piece proceeds to defend by pinning its unpinner, and $\mathbf{3 7 8}$ uses a BS wheel to achieve the record of 8 such variations. In 379 the key, while providing for a check, ingeniously mobilizes no fewer than 6 immobile Black men. Over two phases, the splendid 380* shows 4 changed mates after moves of a BS unpinned by try and key, with a ninth such variation in the actual play.
$377^{\dagger}$ ) P. O'Shea
The Problemist, 1979 (Version by R. T. Lewis)


| 1.Qxc6 | (>2.Qxa4,Se2) | 1...Qd6+ | 2.Qxd6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1...Qxc7+ | 2.Kxc7 |
| 1...Qxe4 | 2.Qxe4 | 1...Qd5+ | 2.Qxd5 |
| 1...Qf5+ | 2.Sxf5 | $1 . . . Q c 5$ | 2.Qxc5 |
| 1...Qh5 | 2.Qxa4 | 1...Qb5 | 2.Sxb5 |
| 1...Qg7+ | 2.Bxg7 | 1...Qa5,Rb4 | 2.Se2 |
| 1...Qe6+ | 2.Kxe6 | 1...Ra2 | 2.Qc4 |
| 1...Qe7+ | 2.Kxe7 | 1...Sc3 | 2.Qxc3 |

[^0]378) M. Tomasević

Mat, 1979

379) J. Fulpius

Special Hon. Ment., diagrammes, 1987 (V)

\#2
1.Sxe2
1...dPxe2 2.Re3
1...Rxe2 2.Qc3
1...Bxe2 2.Qa1
1...fPxe2 2.Pf4
1...Sxe2 2.Sxf3
1...Pf4 2.Rxg5
1...Rg4 2.Sxg4
1...Bd6+,Ba7 2.B(x)d6

380*) M. Velimirović
1st Prize, TT Belgrade International Festival, 2006


Self-pin
8.7 The key of $\mathbf{3 8 1}$ (derived from $\mathbf{1 7 *}^{*}$ ) pins a White piece (WS) which is subsequently unpinned to give a record of 8 different mates. With a key which also unpins the pinner (inverting the Dalton theme) the record is 5 subsequent unpins in $\mathbf{3 8 2}$ - the mate $2 . \mathrm{Qxg} 4$ cannot be counted as an unpin. 383* has one of the most famous keys in problem history, pinning 4 White pieces - the theoretical maximum - which are subsequently unpinned to give six different mates: the only blemish is the one unprovided check. The key of 384* pins 2 White pieces and unpins a third, all of which is reversed over two thematic variations - a fine example of pin/unpin restoration. We shall return to Black unpin of White in 10.9-10.11.
381) M. Lipton (after A. Bottacchi)

The Problemist, 2004

\#2

| $1 . \mathrm{Sxd5}$ | $(>2 . \mathrm{Rb} 1)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| $1 \ldots$ Qxc3 | $2 . \mathrm{Sxc} 3$ |
| 1..Qa4,Qb4 | $2 . \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{b} 4$ |
| $1 \ldots$ Qb6 | $2 . \mathrm{Sxb6}$ |
| $1 \ldots$ Qa7+ | $2 . \mathrm{Sc} 7$ |
| $1 \ldots$ Qg7+ | $2 . \mathrm{Se} 7$ |
| $1 \ldots$ Qf6 | $2 . \mathrm{Sxf6}$ |
| $1 \ldots$ Qf4 | $2 . \mathrm{Sxf4}$ |
| $1 \ldots$ Qxe3 | $2 . \mathrm{Sxe} 3$ |
| $1 \ldots$ Qxd5+ | $2 . \mathrm{Bxd5}$ |

382) H. Knuppert

4th Hon. Ment. ex aequo, The Problemist, 1982

\#2
1.Qxe6 (>2.Qxf5)

| $1 \ldots$ Qd3 | 2.Qh6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1 \ldots$ Qxe5 | 2.Qxe5 |
| 1...Qf6 | 2.Qxf6 |
| 1...Qxf7+ | 2.Qxf7 |
| 1...Qg5, Qh5 | 2.Qxc4 |
| 1...Qg6 | 2.eSxg6 |
| 1...Qxh7 | 2.Qxg4 |
| 1...Qxe6+ | 2.Sxe6 |
| $1 \ldots$ Ke4 | 2.Sd3 |

## 383*) G. Heathcote

1st Prize, Norwich Mercury, 1907


384*) M Wrobel
1st Prize ex aequo, Hungary v. Poland, 1935


TRIES

Self-pin
8.8 Continuing with the same theme, the rich and difficult 385* shows self-pinning try and key leading to a total of 9 different unpins, four after the try and five after the flight-giving key. 386* shows two self-pinning tries and key leading to seven unpins over 3 phases.

385*) H. L. Musante
1st Prize, American Chess Bulletin, 1961

\#2

386*) J. M. Rice
Probleemblad, 1962


| 1.Pxg6? | $(>2 . \operatorname{Pg} 7)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| $1 \ldots$ Qxf7 | 2.Pxf7 |
| 1...Qh7 | 2.Pxh7 |
| 1...Qh8 | 2.Qxh8 |
| 1...Qxg6+ | 2.Qxg6 |
| 1...Qxd8! |  |
|  |  |
| 1.Sxg6? | $(>2 . \mathrm{Be} 7)$ |
|  |  |
| 1...Qxd8 | 2.Sh8 |
| 1...Qf8 | 2.Sxf8 |

\#2
White Obstruction
8.9 Composers have long been attracted by tries which fail because the try-piece obstructs a set mate either by interference or by square-blocking. Chéron applies the term 'thematic tries' to cases where after a random move of a White piece (whether creating a threat or not) there are a number of set mates for Black replies and each particular move of that piece except the key frustrates a different set mate, failing as a try for that reason alone. 387* shows 4 such self-obstructing tries by the WQ with a beautiful withdrawal key. The records for self-obstructing tries by other White pieces are 8 by the WR (with other non-thematic tries and a waiting key) shown in the first eight tries of the remarkable 388*, 9 by the WB in 389* (where the only blemish, the dual promotion on g 8 after Pf5, does not invalidate the tries) and the full wheel of 8 by the WS in the unique $227 \dagger$ (with a ninth closely related try by 1.Rxd6?, which changes five try-play
mates）．Among many fine examples of seven thematic tries and key by the WS，390＊＇s key is disguised by also being a self－ obstruction，which nonetheless works by providing a changed mate after Qxa5．The same pattern arises with the WP．229＊has 4 self－obstructing tries by WPc2，whereas in the masterpiece 391＊＊（which combines its Albino with star flights）three tries and key are all square－blocking self－obstructions，with the key providing a changed mate after Kc6．392＊is a complete block problem with 4 self－obstructing tries by two pairs of line－moving pieces，and 393＊shows a perfectly executed triple Grimshaw of tries by WR and WB，again in a complete block position．Finally， 394＊extends the strategic theme to the refutations，with 4 self－ obstructing tries by the WB allowing self－interference refutations by the BS．

387＊）Touw Hian Bwee
4th Prize，Schach－Echo， 1974


388＊）J．Fulpius
6th Prize，Die Schwalbe， 1977

| 行云豆 | 1．Re1？ | 1．．．Se2！ | 1．Re8 | block |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1．Re2？ | 1．．．Sd3！ |  |  |
| － | 1．Re6？ | 1．．．Sd7！ | 1．．．Se2 | $2 . Q b 1$ |
|  | 1．Re7？ | 1．．．Be5！ | 1．．．Sd3 | 2．Qxd3 |
|  | 1．Rc3？ | 1．．．Pxh5！ | 1．．．Bxf4 | 2．Qxf4 |
|  | 1．Rf3？ | 1．．．Bxf4！ | 1．．．B else | 2．S（x）e7 |
|  | 1．Rg3？ | 1．．．Ph3！ | 1．．．fS any | 2．B（x）e6 |
|  | 1．Rh3？ | 1．．．Pg3！ | 1．．．Pg3 | 2．Qh3 |
|  | 1．Rxb3？ | 1．．．Pxb3！ | 1．．．Pg5，Pxh5 | 2．Rxf6 |
| S ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1．Re5＋？ | 1．．．Pxe5！ | $1 . . . \mathrm{R}$ any | 2．S（x）g7 |
|  | 1．Ba1，Bc3？ | 1．．．Pb2！ | 1．．．Ph3 | 2．Sg3 |
|  | 1．Sd4＋？ | $1 . . . \operatorname{Prd} 4$ ！ |  |  |
| \＃2 | 1．Bxg8？ | 1．．．Bxg8＋！ |  |  |
| \＃2 | 1．Sg7＋？ | $1 . . . \operatorname{Rxg} 7$ ！ |  |  |

## 389*) J. Fulpius

Journal de Genève, 1976

\#2

| 1.Bg8? | 1...Pf5! | 1.Bh3 | block |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.Bf7? | 1...Se6! |  |  |
| 1.Bd5? | 1...Bxc5! | 1...S any | 2.S(x)f7 |
| 1.Bc4? | 1...Pb4! | 1...Bxc5 | 2.Qxc5 |
| 1.Bb3? | 1...Bc3! | 1...Pb4 | 2.Sc4 |
| 1.Ba2? | 1...Ba1! | 1...Bc3 | 2.Qxc3 |
| 1.Bxd7? | 1...Rc8! | 1...Ba1 | 2.Qxa1 |
| 1.Bf5? | 1...Pg5! | 1...Rc8 | 2.Sxd7 |
| 1.Bg4? | 1...Ph4! | 1...Pg5 | 2.Rf5 |
|  |  | 1...Ph4 | 2.Sg4 |
|  |  | 1...Bb2 | 2.Qxb2 |

390*) N. G. G. van Dijk
1st Hon. Ment., BABY Tourney, 1964


| 1.Sc7? | $1 \ldots$ Qxa5! |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1.Se7? | $1 \ldots . \mathrm{Sf6} 6$ |
| 1.Sf6? | $1 \ldots . \mathrm{Se} 7!$ |
| 1.Sf4? | $1 \ldots \mathrm{Sd} 4!$ |
| 1.Se3? | 1...Pxf3! |
| 1.Sc3? | 1...Sf4! |
| 1.Sb4? | $1 \ldots \mathrm{Qb} 5!$ |


| 1.Sb6 | $(>2 . \mathrm{Rd} 5)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| 1...Qxa5 | 2.Sxc4 |
| 1...Sf6 | 2.Qxf6 |
| 1...Se7 | 2.Qh8 |
| 1...Sd4 | 2.Bxg3 |
| 1...Pxf3 | 2.Re3 |
| 1...Sf4 | 2.Bc3 |
| 1...Qb5 | 2.Rxb5 |
| $1 \ldots . \mathrm{Qxb6}$ | 2.Sxc4 |


| $1 . \mathrm{Pd} 4$ | block |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| $1 \ldots$ Kc6 | $2 . \mathrm{Pb} 5$ |
| $1 \ldots$ Kxa6 | 2.Bd3 |
| $1 \ldots$ Ka4 | $2 . \mathrm{Sxc} 3$ |
| $1 \ldots$ Kc4 | $2 . \mathrm{Sxe} 3$ |

392*) L. S. Penrose
British Chess Magazine, 1947 (V)

| 1.Qc5? | 1...Sb6! |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.Bc5? | 1...Be7! |
| 1.aRb6,Qb4? | 1...Rxb7! |
| 1.bRb6? | 1...Bxc6! |
| 1.Kh1 | block |
| 1...Sb6 | 2.Bxb6 |
| 1...Be7 | 2.Qxe7 |
| 1...Rxb7 | 2.Rxb7 |
| 1...Bxc6+ | 2.Rxc6 |
| 1...aB else | 2.S(x)b5 |
| 1...fS any | 2.S(x)d5 |
| 1...Pe4 | 2.Bxf4 |

## 393*) Touw Hian Bwee

1st Prize, Schach-Echo, 1981

| 1.Rb6? | block | $1 \ldots . \mathrm{Pb} 3!$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 . \mathrm{Bb} 6 ?$ | $(>2 . \mathrm{Be} 3)$ | $1 \ldots . \mathrm{Pc} 5!$ |
| $1 . \mathrm{Re} 7 ?$ | $(>2 . \mathrm{Qe} 2)$ | $1 \ldots \mathrm{Rh} 2!$ |
| $1 . \mathrm{Be} 7 ?$ | $(>2 . \mathrm{Bxb} 4)$ | $1 \ldots \mathrm{Sxa} 6!$ |
| $1 . \mathrm{Rf} 6 ?$ | $(>2 . \mathrm{Rd} 6)$ | $1 \ldots \mathrm{Rh} 6!$ |
| $1 . \mathrm{Bf} 6 ?$ | block | $1 \ldots \mathrm{Pg} 2!$ |
|  |  |  |
| $1 . \mathrm{Ba} 5$ | $(>2 . \mathrm{Bxb} 4)$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Sxa} 6$ | $2 . \operatorname{Rd} 7$ |  |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Pc} 5$ | $2 . \operatorname{Rd} 6$ |  |

1.Ba5 (>2.Bxb4)
1...Sxa6 2.Rd7
1...Pc5 2.Rd6
\#2


## 394*) A. Korepin

1st Prize, Chigorin Memorial Tourney, 1938

\#2

| $1 . \mathrm{Bf6} ?$ | $1 \ldots . \mathrm{Sf7!}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1 . \mathrm{Bd} 4 ?$ | $1 \ldots \mathrm{Se} 4!$ |
| $1 . \mathrm{Bc} 3 ?$ | $1 \ldots \mathrm{Sf} 5!$ |
| $1 . \mathrm{Bb} 2 ?$ | $1 \ldots \mathrm{Sb} 5!$ |
|  |  |
| $1 . \mathrm{Ba} 1!$ | $(>2 . \mathrm{Se} 5)$ |
|  |  |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Sf} 7, \mathrm{Qxg} 7$ | $2 . \mathrm{Qxe} 6$ |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Se} 4$ | $2 . \mathrm{Rd} 4$ |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Sf5}$ | $2 . \mathrm{Rc} 3$ |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Sb} 5$ | $2 . \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{b} 2$ |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Bxd} 3+$ | $2 . \mathrm{Pxd} 3$ |

WK tries
8.10 Tries by the WK may also fail for self-obstruction, as in 395 which has 4 such tries but only three different refutations; but more common errors are walking into a prospective check or pin. We have already seen the theoretical maximum of 8 checking refutations in 223. The record for pinning refutations is 4, embellished in 396* by a fifth pinning refutation with the WK on its starting square. In the remarkable 397* 4 checking tries by the WK each walk into a direct (not prospective) pin of the WP which could otherwise have mated, while the checking key (as in 390* and 391**) does the same but provides an alternative mate.

## 395) A. Casa

StrateGems, 2004

\#2

| $1 . \mathrm{Kd} 6 ?$ | $1 \ldots$ Pxd2! |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1 . \mathrm{Kd5} ?$ | $1 \ldots \mathrm{Kxb} 2!$ |
| $1 . \mathrm{Kb} 5, \mathrm{~Kb} 6 ?$ | $1 \ldots \mathrm{Pxb} 2!$ |
|  |  |
| $1 . \mathrm{Kb} 7$ | block |
|  |  |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Kxb} 2$ | $2 . \mathrm{Qxb} 3$ |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Pxb} 2$ | $2 . \mathrm{Ba5}$ |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Pxd} 2$ | $2 . \mathrm{Be} 5$ |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Kxd} 2$ | $2 . \mathrm{Qf} 2$ |

396*) M. Lipton and J. M. Rice
Comm., The Problemist, 2003


| $1 . \mathrm{Kc} 8 ?$ | $1 \ldots \mathrm{Pxb} 4!$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1 . \mathrm{Kd} 8 ?$ | $1 \ldots \mathrm{Bb} 6!$ |
| $1 . \mathrm{Kd} 6 ?$ | $1 \ldots . \mathrm{Bb} 8!$ |
| 1.Ke6? | $1 \ldots \mathrm{Rxh} 4!$ |
| $1 . \mathrm{Pf} 7 ?$ | $1 \ldots \mathrm{Rd} 4!$ |
|  |  |
| $1 . \mathrm{Ke} 8$ | $(>2 . \mathrm{Rxh} 7)$ |
|  |  |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Ph} 6$ | 2.Pg6 |
| $1 \ldots \mathrm{Rxh} 4$ | $2 . \mathrm{Sf} 4$ |

## 397*) M. Lipton

1st Prize, Segal Memorial Tourney, 1962


White Unblock
8.11 So far in this chapter the strategic elements in keys and tries have all been weakening for White, in conformity with the general artistic and puzzle requirements of the chess problem. There are, however, some accepted try themes based on elements which strengthen White. One such is unblock, and 398 shows the record of 12 unblocking tries by seven different White men, with the WS wheel completed across the different phases after Qxb5 in the actual play, but with several major duals. 399*, with 9 unblocking tries by the full complement of 8 WPS and a tenth WP unblocking key, also has a full WS wheel in the actual play, making a fine double task.
398) P. O'Shea (after B. Lindgren)

The Problemist, 1996


399*) P. O'Shea (after C. Mansfield)
The Problemist, 1997


| 1.Pc4? | 1...Kd3! | 1.Pxh6! | (>2.Sg5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.Pxb6? | 1...Rc4! |  |  |
| 1.Pd4? | 1...Pb2! | 1...Sxd2 | 2.Sxd2 |
| 1.Pd7? | 1...Re6! | 1...Sxc3 | 2.Sxc3 |
| 1.Pf3? | 1...Re2! | 1...Rxc5 | 2.Sxc5 |
| 1.Pf7? | 1...Be5+! | 1...Rxd6,Sxd6 | 2.Sxd6 |
| 1.Pxg7? | 1...Sxg7! | 1...Sxf6 | 2.Sxf6 |
| 1.Pg4? | 1...Rxg4+! | $1 . . . \mathrm{Kd} 3$ | 2.Sg5 |
| 1.Pg6? | 1...Pxg6! | 1...Rxg3 | 2.Sxg3 |
|  |  | 1...Rxf2+ | 2.Sxf2 |

\#2

## Nowotny

8.12 Another popular theme for White tries is the Nowotny, in which a White piece cuts the lines of two Black pieces by moving to their point of intersection, thus setting up two threats. Problems with multiple Nowotny tries can be extremely hard to solve: this and the richness of their try play excuse the poverty of their actual play. We have already seen a fine example in 282*, which shows the theoretical maximum of 7 Nowotnys on the same square (six tries, all with excellent refutations, and key). The overall record is 8 Nowotnys in 400*, seven tries (each with a different pair of threats as well as a different refutation) and key (with the same pair of threats as one of the tries). If we require that all the threats, not merely the pairs of threats, be different, the record is exemplified by 401* with 4 Nowotnys, three tries and key, producing eight threats, and a wealth of fine byplay. Finally 402* combines the Nowotny theme (involving White interference with two Black lines) with the Grimshaw theme (involving a pair of mutual self-interferences by two line-moving pieces of the same colour): there are 4 Nowotnys, three tries and key, which at the same time constitute two White Grimshaws, and in the case of the three tries it is White's self-interference which allows Black to find a refutation by closing a second White line.

400*) M. Lipton
Special. Prize, The Problemist, 1966


401*) C. Goldschmeding
1st Prize, BCPS Ring Ty., 1966


402*) N. G. G. van Dijk

Die Schwalbe, 1961


White Correction
8.13 We turn now to two modern themes relating to White's first move. The first is White correction. A random move of a White piece threatens mate or zugzwang, but is defeated by one Black defence: correcting moves by the White piece frustrate or provide for this defence, but only one is the key, the others being tries with different refutations. 403* shows the record for one White piece of 6 correction tries and correction key, making up with the random try (I.Sf7?) a full WS wheel. If the mates on d2 and f6 are counted as different because the WS comes from different squares, all seven corrections provide a different mate for Black's primary defence Bxf6. Another less polished example of the same record is 270. The monumental 404* shows 7 correction tries and correction key from two White pieces, with six of the tries failing because of self-interference. The harmonious 405* shows random and correction tries by 4 White pieces, with squarevacation the common motive, and a flight-giving correction key by one of them.

403*) J. Szöghy
1st Prize, Magyar Sakkélet, 1955

\#2

| 1.Sf7? | (>2.Re6) | 1.Sxd3? | (>2.Re6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1...Sxf7 | 2.Qxg6 | 1...Bxf6 | 2.Qe2 |
| 1...Sf3 | 2.Qxf3 | 1...Ba2! |  |
| 1...Sg4 | 2.Qxh1 |  |  |
| 1...Ba2 | 2.Bxd3 | 1.Sc4? | (>2.Re6) |
| 1...Qxb8 | 2.Bxc6 |  |  |
| 1...Bf4 | 2.Rxf4 | 1...Bxf6 | 2.Sd2 |
| 1...Bxf6! |  | $1 . . . \mathrm{Pd} 2$ ! |  |
| 1.Sxg6? | (>2.Re6) | 1.eSxc6? | (>2.Re6) |
| 1...Bxf6 | 2.Qf5 | 1...Bxf6 | 2.Qd5 |
| 1...Sf7! |  | 1...Qxb8! |  |
| 1.Sg4? | (>2.Re6) | $1 . \mathrm{Sd} 7$ | (>2.Re6) |
| 1...Bxf6 | 2.Sxf6 | 1...Sf7 | 2.Qxg6 |
| 1...Sf3! |  | 1...Sf3 | 2.Qxf3 |
|  |  | 1...Sg4 | 2.Qxh1 |
| 1.eSf3? | (>2.Re6) | 1...Ba2 | 2.Bxd3 |
|  |  | 1...Qxb8 | 2.Bxc6 |
| 1...Bxf6 | 2.Sd2 | 1...Bf4 | 2.Rxf4 |
| 1...Sg4! |  | 1...Bxf6 | 2.Sxf6 |

## 404*) V. Bartolović

2nd Prize, Die Schwalbe, 1961


405*) V. Zabunov

3rd Prize, Mat, 1982

8.14 The corrections in the preceding paragraph are all ordinary (or secondary) corrections. They correct a primary error, i.e. the failure to provide for the Black defence that defeats the random try. If they are correction tries they introduce a secondary error. It is possible to show tertiary corrections, which introduce and correct both primary and secondary errors, and by a further cumulation quaternary corrections. One of the clearest of the handful of examples of quaternary White correction is 406*, the cumulating sequence of effects being as follows: (Sc2?, random) opening of White guard on c5 but no provision for Qxc4; (Sf5!?) provision for Qxc4 but blocking of mate by Qh5; (Sf3!!?) provision for Sxf2 but blocking of WQ's access to f3; (Se2!!!) provision for Pe3 by cutting BB's guard on d3. The scheme is embellished by changed play: as each of the three defences defeats a try, the mate for it is changed in every subsequent phase, giving a total of eight thematic mates.

406*) C. G. S. Narayanan
The Problemist, 1989 (V)


| 1.Sc2? | $\begin{aligned} & (>2 . \operatorname{Rc} 5, \\ & \text { Rxb4) } \end{aligned}$ | 1.Sf3!!? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (>2.Rc5, } \\ & \text { Rxb4) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1...Sxf2 | 2.Qh5 | 1...Qxc4 | 2.gSe7 |
| 1...Pe3 | 2.Qf3 | 1...Sxf2 | 2.gSxf4 |
| 1...Qxc4! |  | 1...Pe3! |  |
| 1.Sf5!? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (>2.Rc5, } \\ & \text { Rxb4) } \end{aligned}$ | 1.Se2!!! | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (>2.Rc5, } \\ & \text { Rxb4) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1...Pe3 | 2.Qf3 | 1...Ra3,Qxb3,Sd6 | 2.Rc5 |
| 1...Qxc4 | 2.fSe7 | 1...Qxc4 | 2.Sc3 |
| 1...Sxf2! |  | 1...Sxf2 | 2.eSxf4 |
|  |  | 1...Pe3 | 2.Qxd3 |

8.15 In the examples of White correction which we have so far discussed, the random effects arise out of the departure of the White piece from its starting square. It is also possible to show arrival correction with two or more White pieces arriving on the same square in try or key. Here also quaternary correction has been achieved, uniquely, in 407, the cumulating sequence of effects being as follows: (Qe6?, random) blocking of Pe6 but no provision for Pg2; (Pe6!?) provision for Pg2 by guard of 44 but closing of WQ's line to b3; (dSe6!!?) provision for Pa3 by guard of d4 but loss of mate by Sxf5; (gSe6!!!) provision for BB moves by opening of WQ's line to g3. The double refutation of the last try by two BB moves is a blemish.
407) C. P. Sydenham

The Problemist, 1976


Threat Correction
8.16 A related theme is threat correction, in which the correction moves by the White piece introduce different threats, as did the key in 405*. Here the record is held by 408* with different threats after random try (1.Sa3?), 3 correction tries and correction key. lt is desirable that the original threat should return as a mate in later phases, as 2.Qa5 does in the actual play of 408*. The return of suppressed threats becomes even more desirable when we move to further degrees of threat correction. Thus in 409, the pioneer example of tertiary threat correction, 1.eS-? adds a guard on e3, but fails to Rxc5; 1.Sd2!? also adds a guard on c4, but frustrates the random threat and fails to Bxc5; and 1.Sd6!! adds both guards, frustrates both previous threats by unguarding c5, and brings them both back after self-blocking defences. 410* is unique in going a step further, achieving quarternay correction by using a half-battery of two WSs, rather as 655* uses a half-pin of two BSs to show
quinary Black correction. 1.cS~? adds a guard on d4, but fails to Bb 4 ; 1.Sxe3!? also adds a guard on d5, but frustrates the random threat and fails to Sc3; 1.dS~!!? adds both guards and allows a double check, but frustrates both previous threats by unguarding e3 and f5 and fails to Pf2; and 1.Sxf3!!! adds both guards and allows the double check, frustrates all three previous threats by unguarding f3 as well as e3 and f5, and amazingly brings them all back after actual defences to the new threat
408*) J. Hannelius
1st Prize, Suomen Shakki, 1950

\#2
409) J. Hannelius

2nd Prize ex aequo, Die Schwalbe, 1950


## 410*) G. Doukhan

1st Prize, Die Schwalbe, 1983


Refutation
8.17 We have noticed a few refutation tasks in passing, e.g. in 394* and 396*. Another example is 411 , which shows the record of 7 tries with pinning refutations.
411) B. J. da C. Andrade

London Evening News, 1930
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